PARENTS’ LANGUAGE ATTITUDES TOWARDS LANGUAGES AND MAINTENANCE OF HERITAGE LANGUAGE

Sugiyanta

English Education Department

School of Teacher Training and Education (STKIP) Panca Sakti, West Java

Doctoral Degree Program in Applied English Linguistics

Atma Jaya Catholic University of Indonesia

sugiyanta2711@gmail.com

Abstract: This current research explores parents’ language attitudes towards languages and maintenance of heritage language and identifies the efforts of maintaining heritage language and its supporting and inhibiting factors. In this research, a questionnaire and semi-structured interview were employed to collect data. There were 62 respondents, consisting of 37 males and 25 females coming from eleven provinces in Indonesia. Questionnaires were distributed to the respondents by both electronic and direct systems. Respondents were asked to fill in the questionnaires. Interviews were conducted to some respondents. The findings of this research reveal that most parents show positive attitudes towards languages and the maintenance of heritage language. The results also indicate that there were some factors supporting the maintenance of heritage language, including parents’ attitudes and roles, community, school, family, daily practices, and culture. In addition, there were a number of factors inhibiting to the maintenance of heritage language such as parents’ attitudes and roles, community, school, family, external culture, and technological advancements. In terms of the efforts to maintain the heritage language, the results show that the language should be taught in the families and at schools, and should be used for social interactions and in traditional and ceremonial events.
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INTRODUCTION

Studies of parents’ attitudes toward the heritage language maintenance have been conducted by some experts. A study of the parental perceptions on the practices of heritage language maintenance of immigrant parents who reside in the United States or Canada was conducted by Liang (2018). The result indicated that most of the immigrant parents in North America showed positive attitudes toward their children’s heritage language learning and maintenance. Factors that inhibited the maintenance of heritage language were related to students’ attitudes such as resistance to attend heritage school, and parents’ lack of time and energy.

A study of parents’ attitudes was also conducted by Budiyan (2017) toward Chinese heritage language maintenance. The study was aimed at exploring the attitudes of Chinese students’ parents toward maintaining Chinese heritage language. The result revealed that the weak competencies of the Chinese parents inhibited the maintenance of the Chinese heritage language. However, they showed positive attitudes toward their children’s heritage language maintenance and development.

The other study of parents’ attitudes toward home language was conducted by Debnath (2017), focusing on the students of English Medium School of Bangladesh. This study was intended to explore the parents’ attitudes toward English, Bangla and local languages as home languages. The data showed that most of the parents had adverse attitude towards their children’s use of Bangla and local tongues as home language. However, they had positive attitudes and perspective of the parents towards the maintenance of Bangla language and local languages. Factors that hindered parents’ attitudes were related to the institutional pressures and linguistic imperialism existing in their society. Parents were convinced that English was the only key to success in
academic and career. As a result, they intentionally limited their children to use mother tongues. Further study of parents' attitudes toward maintaining their heritage language was carried out by LaRotonda (2015). This study was a case study of refugee parents and children in terms of their heritage language maintenance. The result indicated that the maintenance of heritage language was an occurrence in both newcomer and non-newcomer families. Parents who were interviewed had positive attitudes toward heritage language maintenance.

The following study of parents' attitudes toward their children's heritage language was conducted by Becker (2013). This study was a case study of Korean immigrant parents in West Michigan. The main objective was to explore Korean immigrant parents' attitudes toward their children's heritage language maintenance. The result showed that many immigrant parents in the United States struggled with heritage language maintenance as their children started school in the dominant society. They showed strong wishes that heritage language was introduced, talked about, and taught at school.

A study of Arab American parents' attitudes toward their children's heritage language maintenance and language practices was undertaken by Martin (2009). This study was aimed at examining the attitudes of refugee parents and children in terms of maintaining the heritage language. The result indicated that the maintenance of heritage language was an occurrence in both newcomer and non-newcomer families. Parents who were interviewed had positive attitudes toward heritage language maintenance.

In addition, a study of parents' attitudes toward heritage language maintenance for their children was carried out by Park and Sarkar (2007). The research objective of this study was to investigate factors that affect the maintenance of heritage language. The result showed that many immigrant parents in the United States struggled with heritage language maintenance as their children started school in the dominant society. They showed strong wishes that heritage language was introduced, talked about, and taught at school.

The following study of parents' attitudes toward their children's heritage language was conducted by Becker (2013). This study was a case study of Korean immigrant parents in West Michigan. The main objective was to explore Korean immigrant parents' attitudes toward their children's heritage language maintenance. The result showed that many immigrant parents in the United States struggled with heritage language maintenance as their children started school in the dominant society. They showed strong wishes that heritage language was introduced, talked about, and taught at school.

A study of Arab American parents' attitudes toward their children's heritage language maintenance and language practices was undertaken by Martin (2009). This study was aimed at examining the attitudes of refugee parents and children in terms of maintaining the heritage language. The result indicated that the maintenance of heritage language was an occurrence in both newcomer and non-newcomer families. Parents who were interviewed had positive attitudes toward heritage language maintenance.

Based on the results of the above studies, parents have positive attitudes toward the maintenance of heritage language. The supporting factors comprised practical considerations, parents' support, and cultural identity. Better future economic opportunities and more opportunities to make efficient communications helped parents' attitudes toward the maintenance of heritage language. However, the factors hindering parents' attitudes toward the maintenance of heritage language consisted of children's resistance to attending heritage language school, parents' lack of cultural language, and heritage language teaching time and energy. Moreover, parents' weak heritage language (Chinese) competencies, institutional pressures, and linguistic imperialism existing in their society and parents' belief worked as factors hindering the maintenance of heritage language.

This current research is to explore the parents' language attitudes towards languages and find the efforts of maintaining heritage language to help their children maintain the heritage language. The research questions are: 1) What are the parents' language attitudes towards languages and maintenance of heritage language? (a) What are the factors that affect the maintenance of heritage language? and (b) What are the factors that hinder the maintenance of heritage language? 2) What are the efforts of maintaining heritage language? (a) What are the supporting factors? and (b) What are the inhibiting factors? This research seeks to answer the following questions: (1) What are the parents' language attitudes towards languages and maintenance of heritage language? and (2) What are the factors that affect the maintenance of heritage language? and (3) What are the efforts of maintaining heritage language?
There are several definitions of attitude. Attitude is defined as a favourable or unfavourable evaluative reaction towards something or someone exhibited in one’s beliefs, feelings, or intended behaviours (Oundo, Poipoi & Dinah, 2014). Attitude has cognitive, affective, and conative components. It involves beliefs, emotional reactions, and behavioural tendencies related to the object of the attitude and consists of an underlying psychological predisposition to act or evaluate behaviour in a certain way (Gardner in McGroarty, 1996). Attitude is also defined as an evaluation of an object (Bohner & Dickel, 2011).

A number of definitions of language attitudes have been proposed by researchers. Language attitude is defined as an attitude which speakers of different language or language varieties have towards each other’s language or to their own language. Expressions of positive or negative feelings towards a language may reflect impressions of linguistics difficulty or simplicity, ease or difficulty of learning, degree of importance, elegance, social status etc. (Richards, 1992). Attitude is defined as significant component to understand motives, such as the objectives and goals of language groups in child education planning and types of language behaviour of parents (Ager, 2001). According to Ladegaard (2000), attitude is composed of three parts, including knowledge, emotion and behaviour.

Heritage language is interchangeable with “mother tongue,” “native language,” and “community language”, in the sense that it is a language other than English used by immigrants and their children (Lee & Shin, 2008). Heritage language refers to any “language other than English and French,” and intended to refer to the languages spoken by indigenous (first nation) people or by immigrants (Cummins, 1991). Further, heritage language is a minority language learnt by its speakers at home as children, but it is never fully developed because its speakers grow up with a dominant language in which they become more competent (Valdés, 2000). In addition, heritage language is often defined as a language used in home or familial contexts (Campbell & Peyton, 1998). Based on the above definitions, heritage language is a language other than the dominant language used and spoken by its speakers in a given social context.

Language maintenance is defined as the survival of a language in a situation where it might be expected to be endangered (Deumert, 2011). There are a number of factors which contribute to the maintenance of heritage language as a linguistic resource in constructing ethnic identities (Fought, 2011). A language can be maintained and preserved when it is considered as an important factor in maintaining a minority’s identity. This factor is related to the identity of minority.

**METHOD**

This section addresses the method of the research and provides issues of place of the research, respondents, research instrument, data collection technique, and data analysis technique.

This current research was conducted in Jakarta city. There were 62 respondents, consisting of 37 males and 25 females. They from eleven provinces in Indonesia, including Central Java (14), East Java (12), West Java (9), DKI (3), North Sumatra (7), Maluku (2), DIY (5), North Sulawesi (1), East Nusa Tenggara (6), Bangka Belitung (1), and South Sulawesi (2). Their educational backgrounds were composed of high school (23), Diploma 3 (8), Strata 1 (21) and Strata 2 (10). They consisted of public employees (2), private employees (15), businessmen (18), teachers (11), lecturers (2), pensioner (8), others (6) and were grouped under the age of 30-40 years (11), 41-50 years (22), 51-60 years (16), 61-70 years (10) and 71-80 years (3).

Questionnaires and semi-structured interviews were used as the research instruments to collect the data. Questionnaires were distributed to the respondents by both electronic and direct systems, and the respondents were asked to fill in the questionnaires. Interviews were conducted to some respondents.
To explore parents’ attitudes toward languages and maintenance of heritage language, respondents were asked to fill in a questionnaire and to answer interview questions. In the questionnaire, participants were asked to answer to strongly agree to strongly disagree on a four-point Likert scale and were asked to answer open-ended questions. To confirm the data obtained, some respondents were interviewed. They were asked to answer ten interview questions. The data obtained from the questionnaires and interviews were then categorized and analyzed.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This section addresses the findings of the questionnaires and interview questions. Data were collected from 62 parents, consisting of 35 males and 27 females. First, the data from the parents’ questionnaire were categorized by using tables and further analyzed and explained. This research was developed on the ground of the following research questions: 1) What are the parents’ attitudes towards languages and the maintenance of heritage language? 2) What are the factors that affect the maintenance of heritage language: a) What are the supporting and inhibiting factors? and b) What are the efforts to maintain the heritage language?

Based on the studies of parents’ attitudes toward heritage language maintenance, conducted by Liang (2018), Budiyana (2017), Debnath (2017), LaRotonda (2015), Becker (2013), Martin (2009), and Park and Sarkar (2005), parents have positive attitudes towards the maintenance of heritage language.

The findings of this current research reveal that most parents showed positive attitudes towards languages and the maintenance of heritage language. This finding is in line with the above result.

In reference to the data collected from the questionnaire and interview, the respondent or parents had positive attitudes towards languages. Most of the respondents (71%) strongly agreed that language is important to show identity and existence of human being. In terms of their attitudes toward knowing and understanding heritage language, they had different opinions. 39% of the respondents agreed, 37% strongly agreed and 24% disagreed. However, most of them agreed. Related to the use of heritage language, they had very positive attitudes. This could be seen from the respondents answering ‘agree’, reaching 60%.

Parents positively perceived the need of the teaching of heritage language to the next generation. Based on the data, it was obvious that parents had positive perceptions on the teaching of heritage language, reaching a significant number of 55% (agree) compared to 45% (strongly agree). In relation to parents’ role in the teaching of heritage language, they perceived positively that parents played a significant role. This could be seen from the points reaching 43% (strongly agree) and 55% (agree). Only a slight number (2%) disagreed. In addition, respondents also positively perceived that they need to teach the heritage language to their children. This was shown by the respondents answering ‘strongly agree’ (47%) and ‘agree’ (50%).

The following findings were to answer the second research question (RQ2). What are the factors that affect the maintenance of heritage language? a) What are the supporting and inhibiting factors? and b) What are the efforts to maintain the heritage language?

There are a number of factors that generally affect the maintenance of heritage language, including the supporting and inhibiting factors. Park and Sarkar (2005) suggest that factors which contributed to the maintenance of heritage language were related to parents’ positive attitudes and parents’ belief that maintaining the heritage language would maintain their cultural identity. Liang (2018), Budiyana (2017), and Debnath (2017) propose that factors that inhibited the maintenance of heritage language included child’s changing language practices, child’s resistance to attending
heritage language school, parents’ lack of time and energy, parents’ weak competencies, institutional pressures and linguistic imperialism existing in their society, and so forth.

The findings showed that there were several factors affecting the maintenance of heritage language, including parents’ attitudes, child’s attitudes, environment, community’s attitude, and culture. Parents’ attitudes ranked first, followed by environment, culture, child’s attitudes, and community attitudes. The result also indicated that there were some factors contributing to the maintenance of heritage language, including parents’ attitudes and roles, community, school, family, daily practices, and culture. Parents’ attitudes and roles occupied the first place, followed by environment, school, and family. Below is an excerpt from an interview with one of the respondents stating that culture is one of the factors that support the maintenance of heritage language:

“...Faktor-faktor yang mendukung, ya ada Pak, kalau misalnya ya kalau misalnya seperti kita yang hidup di Jakarta, seperti di TMII ada anjungan Jawa Tengah, DIY, mungkin dapat dijadikan sarana untuk pemeliharaan bahasa daerah, dengan adanya pertemuan arisan satu daerah, dengan acara klonengan apa atau apa...” (Ibu Yustina Muryani)

The results show that there are a number of factors inhibiting to the maintenance of heritage language, including parents’ attitudes and roles, environment, school, family, external culture, and technological advancements. Parents’ attitude and role ranked first, followed by school, environment, external culture, family, and technological advancements. Following is an excerpt from an interview with one of the respondents showing that parents’ attitudes and roles, and environment are considered as the factors that inhibit the maintenance of heritage language.

“Faktor itu, misalnya pertama tinggal dalam satu lingkungan tapi heterogen, banyak suku, kedua, ketegasan orang tua, anak tidak bisa berbahasa daerah, orang tua diam saja, tidak ada tabloid bahasa daerah.....” (Ibu Yustina Muryani)

Related to the efforts to maintain the heritage language, based on the findings, the language should be taught in the families (30%) and at schools (29%). In addition, the heritage language should be used for social interactions (12%) and in traditional and ceremonial events (29%). In general, according to the respondents, the heritage language should be taught first in the families. Following is an excerpt from an interview with one of the respondents confirming that to maintain the heritage language, it should be taught in the family:

“...Kalau menurut saya terutama di lingkungan keluarga, kita sering berkomunikasi dengan bahasa Jawa karena saya dari Jawa, jadi sering berkomunikasi dengan bahasa Jawa dengan istri, anak-anak....” (Eko Ari Nurcahyo)

Based on the data collected from the interviews conducted to some respondents, the findings showed that the respondents had positive attitudes toward languages, including the heritage language. There were some factors that influenced the heritage language maintenance, such as parents’ attitudes, families, environment, etc. To maintain the heritage language, it is suggested that the language be taught in the families, schools, family meetings, and traditional events.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above findings and discussion, conclusions can be drawn. Parents have positives language attitudes towards languages and the maintenance of heritage language. There are several factors that affect the maintenance of heritage language. They consist of the supporting factors, including parents’ attitudes and roles, community, school, family, daily practices and cultures, and the inhibiting factors, such as parents’ attitudes and roles, school, environment, external cultures, family, and technological advancements. In terms of the heritage
language maintenance, it should be taught in families and schools. In addition, the heritage language should be used in social interactions and traditional and ceremonial events.

Due to the time constraint, a further study should be conducted with a greater number of respondents in order to be more representative and accurate.
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## A. Pendapat atau pandangan tentang Bahasa Jawa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Pendapat tentang Bahasa Jawa</th>
<th>Sangat Sutuju</th>
<th>Setuju</th>
<th>Tidak Setuju</th>
<th>Sangat Tidak Setuju</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Bahasa Jawa sangat penting sebagai identitas dan keberadaan saya sebagai manusia.</td>
<td>37 (69.81%)</td>
<td>16 (30.18%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Saya perlu mengetahui, memahami dan menguasai Bahasa Jawa.</td>
<td>25 (47.16%)</td>
<td>27 (50.94%)</td>
<td>1 (1.88%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Saya perlu menggunakan Bahasa Jawa dalam keluarga.</td>
<td>16 (30.18%)</td>
<td>33 (62.26%)</td>
<td>4 (7.54%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Saya perlu menggunakan Bahasa Jawa dalam berkomunikasi dengan anak-anak saya.</td>
<td>8 (15.09%)</td>
<td>43 (81.13%)</td>
<td>2 (3.77%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Saya perlu menggunakan Bahasa Jawa dalam acara-acara tradisional dan seremonial.</td>
<td>18 (33.96%)</td>
<td>32 (60.37%)</td>
<td>3 (5.66%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Saya perlu menggunakan Bahasa Jawa dalam acara-acara keluarga.</td>
<td>15 (28.30%)</td>
<td>36 (67.92%)</td>
<td>2 (3.77%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Saya memiliki peran penting dalam mengajarkan Bahasa Jawa kepada anak-anak saya.</td>
<td>11 (20.75%)</td>
<td>39 (73.58%)</td>
<td>3 (5.66%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## B. Pengajaran Bahasa Jawa kepada Anak-anak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Pengajaran Bahasa Jawa</th>
<th>Sangat Setuju</th>
<th>Setuju</th>
<th>Tidak Setuju</th>
<th>Sangat Tidak Setuju</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Saya perlu memelihara atau mempertahankan Bahasa Jawa.</td>
<td>32 (60.37%)</td>
<td>20 (37.73%)</td>
<td>1 (1.89%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Untuk memelihara Bahasa Jawa, orang tua perlu mengajarkannya kepada anak-anak.</td>
<td>25 (47.16%)</td>
<td>28 (52.83%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Bahasa Jawa perlu diajarkan kepada anak-anak.</td>
<td>22 (41.50%)</td>
<td>30 (56.60%)</td>
<td>1 (1.89%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Saya perlu mengajarkan Bahasa Jawa kepada anak-anak saya.</td>
<td>17 (32.07%)</td>
<td>35 (66.03%)</td>
<td>1 (1.89%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Bahasa Jawa perlu diajarkan</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
kepada anak-anak dalam keluarga.  
(35.84%)  
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(1.89%)  
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7. Peran orang tua sangat penting dalam pengajaran Bahasa Jawa kepada anak-anak.  
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C. Kondisi Pengajaran Bahasa Jawa kepada Anak-anak

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Pengajaran Bahasa Jawa</th>
<th>Sangat Baik</th>
<th>Baik</th>
<th>Tidak Baik</th>
<th>Sangat Tidak Baik</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Pengajaran Bahasa Jawa kepada anak-anak Jawa kelahiran Jakarta saat ini.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(3.77%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(32.07%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Saya mengajarkan Bahasa Jawa kepada anak-anak saya.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(7.54%)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(67.92%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Faktor penghambat dan upaya pengajaran Bahasa Jawa kepada Anak-anak

1. Faktor-faktor penghambat pengajaran Bahasa Jawa kepada anak-anak kelahiran Jakarta.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Faktor Penghambat</th>
<th>Sangat Setuju</th>
<th>Setuju</th>
<th>Tidak Setuju</th>
<th>Sangat Tidak Setuju</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Ketidakpedulian orang tua untuk mengajarkan Bahasa Jawa kepada anak-anak.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(16.98%)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(52.83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ketidaktertarikan anak untuk mempelajari Bahasa Jawa.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(13.20%)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(52.83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Sikap masyarakat yang tidak mendukung penggunaan Bahasa Jawa.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(11.32%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(45.28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Penggunaan bahasa Indonesia sebagai alat komunikasi baik di lingkungan, masyarakat, sekolah, dan tempat-tempat lainnya.</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(30.18%)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(52.83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Lingkungan yang tidak mendukung penggunaan Bahasa Jawa untuk berkomunikasi.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(20.75%)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(50.94%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51
2. Upaya paling tepat untuk mengajarkan Bahasa Jawa kepada anak-anak. (Jawaban bisa lebih dari 1). Sebaiknya Bahasa Jawa diajarkan di:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Tempat</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Rumah /Keluarga</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>(100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Sekolah</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(33.96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Tempat Kerja</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Masyarakat</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Pertemuan Keluarga</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>77.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Lain-lain</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Local community, traditional events, etc)*